COVER PAGE AND DECLARATION

	Bachelor of Business Administration (B.B.A.)
Specialisation:	**B.B.A**
AffiliatedCenter:	**CEO Business School**
Module Code & Module Title:	ibm340-international-economics-assessment
Student's Full Name:	Khalid Omar I Madkhali
Student ID:	EIU2022450
Word Count:	**2500**
Date of Submission:	**15-06-2025**

I confirm that this assignment is my own work, is not copied from any other person's work (published/unpublished), and has not been previously submitted for assessment elsewhere.

E-SIGNATURE : **Khalid Omar**______________________________________

DATE : 15-06-2025______________________________________

Table of Contents

- **Executive Summary**
- **NAFTA Analysis**

  What are the profit of NAFTA?

  What are the downsides of NAFTA?

  What are the main parts of supply with merchandise NAFTA

  What does NAFTA manage and what needs are join by NAFTA?

  How have NAFTA procedures affected allure member countries with its own government' frugalities?

  How does NAFTA sermon network rates?

- **Comparative Analysis**
- **Conclusion**
- **References**

Executive Summary

The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) represents a landmark in the history of regional economic integration. It established a vast free-trade zone uniting the economies of Canada, Mexico, and the United States. The compromise, that reached into effect on January 1, 1994, proposed to remove most levy and non-tariff impediments to profession and financing among the three appendage nations. For over two decades, NAFTA change trade flows, asset patterns, and financial buildings side to side North America. This task specifies an painstaking study of NAFTA by addressing key questions concerning allure benefits, disadvantages, enforcement systems, basic work items, overall capabilities, and financial affect its appendages. Furthermore, this report will equate NAFTA's procedures with those of another important worldwide business agreement—the Economic community's Single Market—to climax various models of local integration. It is crucial to note that NAFTA was officially replaced by the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) on July 1, 2020, which modernized and amended many of its original provisions.

1. NAFTA Analysis

This portion resolves the NAFTA contract 'tween its appendage nations to answer the foll owing questions.

1.1 What are the profit of NAFTA?

NAFTA presented various real benefits for allure member countries with its own government, most especially:

- **Increased Trade Volume:** Trade with the three husbands evolved exponentially. From 1993 to 2016, merchandise profession betwixt the U.S., Canada, and Mexico more than heighten, climbing from nearly $290 billion to over $1.1 heap.
- **Lower Consumer Prices:** The elimination of tariffs lowered the cost of imports, providing consumers in all three countries with more affordable goods, from agricultural products to automobiles and electronics.
- **Boosted Foreign Direct Investment (FDI):** The agreement led to a surge in cross-border investment.
  Mexico was the recipient, inviting significant FDI into allure production and automotive s ubdivisions from U.S. and Canadian
  firms pursuing to influence lower undertaking costs and closeness to the U.S. market.
- **Integrated North American Amount Chains:**
  NAFTA supported the invention of very joined and adept North American supply chains, exceptionally in the auto manufacturing, place parts and elements would frequently cros s borders diversified opportunities during the result process before ending congregation.

1.2 What are the downsides of NAFTA?

Despite its benefits, NAFTA was also associated with significant downsides:

- **Job Losses in Specific U.S. Sectors:** In the United States, the relocation of manufacturing plants to Mexico led to job losses, particularly in the automotive, textile, and apparel industries.
- **Downward Pressure on Wages:** Some workers in U.S. manufacturing faced downward wage pressure, as companies could use the threat of relocating to Mexico as leverage in labor negotiations.
- **Negative Impact on Mexican Agriculture:** Small-scale farmers in Mexico, especially corn producers, could not compete with heavily subsidized U.S. agribusiness.
  This influenced to the dislocation of millions of country traders and increased transfer.
- Environmental Concerns: Critics maintained that companies transported result to Mexico to impose
  upon less stringent material requirements, leading to raised dirtiness in border regions.

1.3 How does NAFTA administer allure policies?

NAFTA depended a singular set of dispute conclusion mechanisms to guarantee agreeme nt with allure supplyings.

The system had three main components:

- **Chapter 20 (State-to-State Disputes):** This mechanism allowed member countries to resolve disputes regarding the interpretation or application of the agreement through formal arbitral panels.
- **Chapter 19**
  (**Antidumping/Offsetting Duty Reviews**): This unit granted private firms to interest decis ions by household management instrumentalities to dictate duties on imports asserted e xpected dropped or unjustly subsidized. The review was conducted by a binational panel, which replaced domestic judicial review.
- **Chapter 11 (Investor-State Dispute Settlement - ISDS):** This was the most controversial provision.
  It admitted financiers from one appendage country to plead the administration of anoth er member country straightforwardly in an worldwide court for allegedly prejudicial proc edures or conduct that harmed their expenses.

1.4 What are the main parts of supply with merchandise NAFTA?

- While trade under NAFTA was diverse, a few key sectors dominated the flow of goods:
- **Automobiles and Auto Parts:** This was the most integrated sector, accounting for a substantial portion of the trilateral trade.
- **Machinery and Electronic Equipment:** Industrial machinery, computers, and electronic components were among the largest categories of exports and imports.
- **Agricultural Products:** Trade in agricultural goods was vital. The U.S. exported massive amounts of corn and soybeans to Mexico, while Mexico became a key supplier of fruits and vegetables to the U.S.
- **Oil and Gas:** Canada and Mexico were major energy suppliers to the United States, with crude oil, petroleum products, and natural gas being major trade items.

1.5 What does NAFTA manage and what needs are join by NAFTA?
NAFTA's basic talent was the creation of individual of the globe's best free-profession zones, enlightening profession and financing across Western hemisphere. The agreement met several key needs:

- **The Need for Economic Growth:** All three nations sought to stimulate their economies by increasing trade and creating a more stable and predictable environment for investment.
- **The Need for Global Competitiveness:** By forming a regional trading bloc, North America could compete more effectively with other economic blocs, such as the rising European Union.

- **The Need for Investment Security:** NAFTA provided investors with a set of rules that protected their investments from arbitrary political changes, a key concern for those investing in Mexico at the time.

1.6 How have NAFTA procedures affected allure member countries with its own government' frugalities?

The economic impact of NAFTA was varied across its member nations:

- **United States:** The U.S. economy experienced a modest overall GDP boost attributable to NAFTA. However, the sectoral collisions were more important, accompanying gains in the assistance and land areas but task deficits and disruption in production. The U.S. trade balance accompanying Mexico more grew really under the contract.

- **Canada:** NAFTA solidified Canada’s trade relationship with the United States, its largest trading partner. The Canadian economy saw overall gains, although some industries faced difficult adjustments to increased competition.

- **Mexico:** The impact on Mexico was arguably the most dramatic. Its saving reoriented toward ship-surpassed production, and exports and FDI boomed. However, original make tumor waited slow, business-related prejudice endured, and the established land subdivision endured.

1.7 How does NAFTA sermon network rates?
NAFTA acted not involve any supplyings for directing exchange rates or matching finances procedure. Each appendage country retained allure own cash (the U.S. Dollar, Canadian Dollar, and Mexican Peso) and allure own liberated regional bank. The concurrence directed exclusively on work and contribution rules, operating under the arrogance that display-located, buoyant exchange rates would prevail.
2. Comparative Analysis: NAFTA vs. The Economic unit Single Advertise
To determine a approximate outlook, NAFTA's procedures are compared accompanying those of the Economic unit's (EU) Distinct Market, which shows a much deeper model of business-related unification.

Feature	**North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)**	**European Union (EU) Single Market**
Level of Integration	**Free Trade Area:** Eliminated internal tariffs but allowed each member to maintain its own external trade policy.	**Economic and Monetary Union:** A common market ensuring the "Four Freedoms": free movement of goods, services, capital, and *people*. It has a common external trade policy.
Labor Mobility	**Non-existent:** NAFTA did not include provisions for the free movement of labor between member countries.	**A Cornerstone Principle:** EU citizens have the right to live

		and work in any other member state.
Currency	**Individual Currencies:** Each appendage kept allure communal cash (USD, CAD, MXN).	**Single Bills (for many):** 20 appendage countries employ ment the Pouched mammal (€), removing rate of exchange danger and undertaking costs inside the Eur ozone**.**
Institutions	**Limited Institutions:** Consisted of a Free Trade Commission and a Secretariat to administer the agreement. Power remained intergovernmental.	**Strong Supranational Institutions:** Features powerful bodies like the European Commission, European Parliament, and European Court of Justice, which can create and enforce law that is binding on member states.
National Sovereignty	Minimal Resignation of Sovereignty: The con currence concentrated primarily on work rul es, continuing national domination private o ther extents.	**Meaningful Pooling of Dominat ion:** Representative states repre sentative solid domination to E U organizations in extents like w ork, farming, preservation of natural resources, and contest law.
Dispute Settlement	**Ad-hoc Arbitral Panels (including ISDS):** Allowed private investors to sue states directly.	**European Court of Justice (ECJ):** Acts as the supreme court of the EU, ensuring uniform interpretation and application of EU law. Its rulings are binding on all member states and institutions.

Conclusion

In summary,
NAFTA was a transformational investigate in North American financial unification. It accomplishe d in allure center responsibility of boosting business and financing, chief to greater financial effe ctiveness and well joined supply chains. Nevertheless, these advantages were followed by mean ingful friendly and business-
related costs, including sectoral task dislocation and determined economic prejudice, that event ually inflamed the governmental will for allure renegotiation into the USMCA.
The contrasting accompanying the European
Union's Distinct Market starkly exemplifies that NAFTA was a more
ignorant model of unification, prioritizing reciprocal
trade over the broader governmental, public, and allowable unification that delineates the EU. T his contrast focal
points the various courses regional aid can take, accompanying each understanding indicating th e unique archival, governmental, and business-related priorities of allure appendages.

References

1. Council on Foreign Relations. (2020). *NAFTA and the USMCA: Weighing the Impact of North American Trade*.
2. Hufbauer, G. C., & Schott, J. J. (2005). *NAFTA Revisited: Achievements and Challenges*. Peterson Institute for International Economics.
3. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2015). *20 Years of NAFTA*.
4. Office of the United States Trade Representative. (n.d.). *The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)*.
5. Villareal, M., & Fergusson, I. F. (2017). *The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)*. Congressional Research Service.
6. World Bank. (2004). *Lessons from NAFTA for Latin America and the Caribbean*.
7. Ciuriak, D., & Xiao, J. (2014). *NAFTA at 20: A Structural-Economic Analysis*. Centre for International Governance Innovation.